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In conventional teaching, curriculum design that incorporates formative activities with prompt feedback is proven to have a positive effect on learning outcomes. Nevertheless, there is limited evidence that activities involving e-learning also have this positive effect and this is particularly the case within the discipline of hematopathology. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of e-learning formative activities in hematopathology and to assess if, by using this resource, learning is enhanced. To address the research question, an e-learning module for anemia was developed to determine if it significantly increased knowledge, expressed by improved grades. Seventy-three students from health science programs that were enrolled in first-year hematology participated in the study. Students were encouraged to participate in two formative activities: one held in class and the other developed online as an e-learning module. Results showed a statistically significant increase in mean summative assessment score ($P = 0.03$) and final grade ($P = 0.02$) for students who completed the formative e-learning module. Interactive e-learning modules not only assist with engagement but also significantly improve grade outcomes. From this study, e-learning resources are an option for all educators but, in particular, those who have reduced face-to-face contact hours to teach the basic sciences.

1. Introduction

The ability to interpret clinical case based scenarios in hematology is a vital skill for students entering the pathology discipline of hematopathology (blood disorders). Understanding the testing procedures, interpreting results, and providing morphological reports are essential skills for the accurate diagnosis and treatment of patients with blood disorders. Although technology and automation in this clinical discipline have progressed over time [1], the basic human analytical skills required to interpret results remain unchanged. Interestingly, the modality of learning pathology skills has transformed curriculum design which has been influenced by the reduction in the number of face-to-face contact hours in basic science content and the decrease in teaching staff [2, 3]. With the reduction in contact hours, a challenge exists to maintain relevant content whilst providing adequate practice to develop the necessary skills. Utilizing alternative teaching methods such as blended learning, simulation, or the flipped classroom provides an opportunity to maintain quality teaching standards and satisfy the demands of pedagogical change that is evidence based [4, 5]. The growth of blended learning (a combination of face-to-face and online) and e-learning (using the Internet as a learning tool) has gained momentum in recent years [6, 7]. These new teaching and learning methods can be accessed and experienced in a variety of ways through technology, computer-based classrooms, and anywhere/anytime accessibility to hand-held devices (virtual slides, projected imaging, mobile “apps,” and interactive online modules). Online tools have superseded paper-based activities which were time consuming for the academic when providing individual feedback or when a physical presence in class was required in order to complete a paper copy [8–10]. The Millennial learner (born 1982–2004) has grown up in a technologically driven environment with a routine involvement with e-learning [11]. Advances in online
or hand-held devices as learning tools have accommodated the individual learner’s style and produces more meaningful and life-long learning in both a nonjudgmental environment and one that allows the user to work at their own pace [12, 13].

It is known that well-designed formative assessments can have a positive effect on engaging the learner in the biomedical sciences [10, 14] and the use of e-learning to impart knowledge acquisition and skills is an essential component of the 21st century educator. However, the appropriate educational tools that are freely accessible in hemopathology education are minimal [15]. Due to the promise and potential of innovative e-learning, our study investigated (i) the effectiveness of formative assessment to assess knowledge and skills in hemopathology with and without immediate online feedback prior to summative assessment and (ii) whether a new e-learning approach improves final grades.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Design Rationale. In previous years, the curriculum design at the authors institute for teaching erythrocyte disorders (anemia) consisted of “traditional” in-class summative paper-based case studies which depicted clinical scenarios of patients with signs and symptoms of anemia. Students were required to identify the typical presenting signs and symptoms, request appropriate tests, analyze test results, review a blood film by light microscopy, and provide a morphology report and a discussion on the pathophysiology and recommended treatment of the patient. There was no option to complete these tasks outside of class time, receive formative assessment with timely feedback, or have access to the equipment and staff resources beyond the allocated timetable. On the basis of student feedback, a need was recognized to (i) incorporate formative assessment in the teaching and learning process that provided timely and relevant support to assist in improved learning outcomes prior to the summative assessment and (ii) increase the opportunities for repetition and interactivity for the learner.

2.2. Formative and Summative Assessment. Currently (2016), students complete two formative and two summative case study tasks associated with the teaching of anemia. At completion of the modules, students receive two summative case study assessments. Task 1 incorporates a “traditional” style (no e-learning formative assessment) and Task 2 incorporates a “modern” style (e-learning formative assessment). Regardless of the lesson format, a case-based approach that is familiar to the students is applied throughout each modality with formative assessment and feedback (prior to summative assessment). Both tasks assess the competency skills and knowledge attained throughout the course using clinical scenarios of patients presenting with anemia of unknown cause, simulating clinical practice.

The formative assessment associated with Task 1 (traditional case study assignment) was offered in class only. Students enrolled in one of five nonstreamed classes, facilitated by three tutors every week (1 hr/week, for a total of eight weeks), with a student to tutor ratio of 15:1. To maintain similar experiences for all students, the tutorial content was exactly the same, irrespective of which tutor was involved or what class was taken. All students received a paper-based tutorial manual that contained the same resources and regardless of which tutor facilitated each week, they were given the same lesson plan. Tutorial resources included (i) a guide to completing a clinical hematology case; (ii) access to online virtual slides for morphology identification; (iii) short answer questions that included annotating virtual hematology slides; and (iv) an exemplar of a completed case study. The resource material and lesson plans were moderated by the course coordinator and course moderator to maintain clear course objectives and assessment outcomes to understand, identify, and critically analyze a clinical case of anemia. Feedback in class was dependent on the student completing the activity in the tutorial manual and seeking out the tutor for assistance, there was no option to include an e-learning module or receive feedback outside of class time.

The formative assessment associated with Task 2 (case study exam) was offered as an online e-learning module. The online module consisted of the same resources as were supplied in class. However, they were available electronically and thus, multiple attempts could be made with the e-learning module outside of class, and the learner could work through the case study at their own pace and in their own time and receive immediate online feedback and directed access to further learning (Figure 1).

To observe any bias for academic ability between gender and enrolled program, a pretest hematology quiz consisting of multiple choice and short answer questions was conducted in week 1 of the course. To observe any further bias for self-selection, students were ranked in order by grade pre- and postsummative assessment to (a) identify if there were a comparable number of participants from both the upper and lower grade percentiles in each study group and (b) identify if a change in ranking occurred if students did, or did not, complete the formative activity.

2.3. Development of the E-Learning Module. The new e-learning module associated with Task 2 was developed by the authors after reviewing evidenced based practice in e-learning modules [15–17]. To ensure alignment with the curriculum outputs, the peer-reviewed module was linked to a clinical approach to anemia within the basic sciences, particularly relevant to the pathology profession. The Smart Sparrow Adaptive E-Learning Platform [18] was chosen as the software for developing the module because of the accessibility to various widgets; analytics component; instant feedback; adaptive pathways; and ease of use. Moreover, the published module can be deployed and viewed online (https://www.best.edu.au/) for adoption by other users. The module itself consists of a case-based approach which incorporates interactive and engaging activities to learn a clinical approach to diagnosing anemia. The module comprises adaptive feedback; virtual slides; “drop and drag” morphology; multiple choice questions; “roll over” and annotated answers; extended feedback from a virtual “Biomedical Scientist”; and student-led learning (choice of activities). Figure 2 provides an example of an interactive “drop and drag” activity on a virtual blood film with incorrect feedback response but with
Figure 1: Flow chart demonstrating formative and summative task structure, including method of assessment and availability of feedback.

Figure 2: Example of an interactive “drop and drag” activity in the e-learning module. The learner can interact with the activity by using the cursor to drag the appropriate star to a region of interest on the blood film and then receive instant feedback regarding their choices. After three incorrect attempts the module highlights the correct answer with feedback and directed learning, providing the learner with a choice to proceed or review further material. Analytics are available to the academic to monitor each question and answer and adapt the question or activity to suit the learner.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. Quantitative data from the tasks and the descriptive statistics were analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Data were analyzed using independent sample t-tests with criteria of a 95% confidence interval and a null hypothesis that there is no significant change in student’s grade following participation in a formative e-learning module. A P value < 0.05 was a significant level to suggest strong evidence against the null hypothesis.

2.5. Evaluating Efficacy with Statistical Power. In order to show a 25% difference between groups with statistical power > 95%, a sample size of at least 15 students per group was required.

3. Results

Seventy-three students from health science programs enrolled in first-year hematology participated in the study. Approximately two-thirds of the participants were female and three-quarters were enrolled in a medical science program. The students enrolled as a course elective were from backgrounds in law, social sciences, or premedicine. There were no prerequisite courses required to enroll in this first-year course nor were there any requirements for prior knowledge or remedial classes linked to commencement: all students began the course with the same hematology baseline knowledge. To exclude any bias for academic ability between gender and enrolled program, a pretest hematology quiz consisting of multiple choice and short answer questions was conducted in week 1 of the course. The average mark for the pretest result was 85% (±SD 12.58); there was no statistically significant difference between the gender (P = 0.107) and the program (P = 0.161). The pretest quiz result (% ±SD) by gender and enrolled program is presented in Table 1.

As the formative activities were not graded, students self-selected whether they would complete one or more of the formative activities and identified themselves as either “completed formative activity” or “did not complete formative activity” associated with each summative task. Table 2 identifies the participants who completed the formative activities.

Of the 73 students in the study, 48 (66%) participated in formative activity 1A and 16 (22%) participated in formative activity 2A. The average class grade for Task 1 was 73.16% (±SD 19.55) and for Task 2 was 59.42% (±SD 23.67). The difference in mean result for summative tasks is illustrated in Figure 3.

Students who completed the formative activity associated with Task 1 showed an apparent improvement in grade
Table 1: Participant characteristics by gender and program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Background variables</th>
<th>Number of participants (%)</th>
<th>Pretest quiz result % (±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>45 (62)</td>
<td>86.40 (±10.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>28 (38)</td>
<td>82.60 (±14.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrolled program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomedical Science</td>
<td>32 (44)</td>
<td>86.50 (±10.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Laboratory Science</td>
<td>22 (30)</td>
<td>84.47 (±15.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (course elective)</td>
<td>19 (26)</td>
<td>83.16 (±13.35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Completion of formative (nonassessable) tasks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case study task</th>
<th>Number of participants (%)</th>
<th>Gender (%)</th>
<th>Program (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity 1A: in class only</td>
<td>48 (66)</td>
<td>Female 29 (60)</td>
<td>Biomedical Science 18 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male 19 (40)</td>
<td>Medical Laboratory Science 13 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity 2A: E-learning module</td>
<td>16 (22)</td>
<td>Female 9 (56)</td>
<td>Other-course elective 17 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male 7 (44)</td>
<td>Biomedical Science 4 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medical Laboratory Science 7 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other-course elective 5 (31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

The data collected during our study indicates that an e-learning module that provides an engaging way to learn hematology case studies together with immediate feedback on student performance has a significant positive effect on grade outcome. Students that completed the formative activities were likely to improve their grade for the summative task and improve their overall grade ranking position. There was a propensity that those students who participate in only one formative activity also benefit from feedback and an opportunity to practice and refine learning skills.

4.1. Implications for Learning Practice. There is a need to reform the curriculum in biomedical science to engage students who are disinterested in their studies. Many biomedical science students view science as hard, boring, or uninterestingly presented [19]. Adaptive e-learning is expected to address the changing needs of the current and future generations of students [20] as well as allow teachers to identify problem areas and difficult concepts using the real-time analytics and subsequently adapt and improve their lessons. Adaptive e-learning described in this study provides, through technology, instructional content that adapts to learners’ needs or desires [21]. It has the ability to provide the learner with adaptive feedback via numerous pathways that can be immediate and can target a learner’s specific action and
provide an interactive and personalized learning experience. As recorded in the literature [22], formative activities that provide timely feedback and an opportunity for repetition of core knowledge and fundamental skills with clinical application are good predictors for improved performance in summative assessments and final grades. Our results demonstrated that a significant improvement in mean grades can be achieved when completing a formative e-learning module to learn and practice before grade assessment.

4.2. Development and Design of E-Learning Modules. The design of modules that incorporate instant feedback is one of many attributes of the e-learning module. E-learning engages the student by using a "hands-on" approach that allows the learner to be self-paced and self-led and this improves engagement and understanding. This is likely to influence retention of knowledge and increase exam performance [23]. Appropriately designed modules can also simulate a real-world experience in a profession.

From the perspectives of the authors of this paper and evidenced based literature [17, 24–26], the design of an interactive and adaptive e-learning module should demonstrate the purpose and academic value of twelve key aspects:

(i) providing comprehensive learning objectives;
(ii) stimulating attention, engagement, and motivation to continue the module;
(iii) containing knowledge that reduces cognitive load;
(iv) using time in an efficient way;
(v) giving the ability to complete and repeat the module anywhere/anytime;
(vi) offering positive interactive experience;
(vii) demonstrating good aesthetics of the interface and user friendly design;
(viii) providing instant feedback and evaluation;
(ix) adaptable self-led learning;
(x) choice of learning pathway;
(xi) immediate analytics for improved usability and learning modification;
(xii) an application of the module to "real-world" scenarios.

Design of new e-learning modules in the human pathology field requires encouragement of students to participate and proof of the modules effectiveness. Asking students to participate in nonassessed formative activities, which make no contribution to their academic grade, may not attract the student. Some students prefer traditional learning methods in preference to online learning although this may reflect the design quality or usability of the resource [27]. The e-learning module should be designed to enhance rather than replace the “real-world” experience. Not all students learn at the same pace or adapt to the technology provided and a blended learning model that allows face-to-face contact remains important [28]. Science students have been shown to better understand diagnostic outcomes related to clinical application when they are actively involved in learning [17]. Therefore, classes that reinforce concepts and expose students to the learning material in a practical environment are likely to maximize learning outcomes.

4.3. Limitations. Despite the positive evidence for engagement and the drive to develop and implement e-learning, the production of e-learning modules is often time consuming: the module described in this study took 80+ hours to develop content, test within the student cohort, verify content with experts in the field, review changes from student and expert feedback, and disseminate it to the learner. Educators and clinicians may not have the time, resources, or the technical expertise to develop e-learning modules [24]. Ongoing support for the development and sustained implementation is required to produce educational resources that have been developed using evidence based practice.

Establishing a relationship between participation and performance through the adoption of formative activities may require encouragement to participate. It is likely that the highly motivated learner will always participate in the formative activities [14] but the majority of students will require an incentive or a justification of their time to complete the activity and a clear indication of the benefit to their academic outcome. Although our study had a lower than expected participation rate, there was an even distribution of both high and low grade rankings in each group and furthermore, there was no statistically significant bias regarding background variables of gender or enrolled program.

Further important research questions involve reproducibility of the results and measuring how well students retain the information presented using formative means and if the case studies continue to be relevant after university when the graduates enter the clinical profession.

5. Conclusions

This study concurs with the notion that formative assessment has a positive impact on student learning. Interactive and
engaging e-learning modules not only assist with knowledge and comprehension but also significantly improve grade outcomes. Further research is needed into the development of e-learning modules in hematopathology and other biomedical sciences to assist learning.
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