

## Efficiency of Transcription from Promoter Sequence Variants in *Lactobacillus* Is Both Strain and Context Dependent

ANDREA MCCRACKEN† AND PETER TIMMS\*

Centre for Molecular Biotechnology, School of Life Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

Received 22 March 1999/Accepted 14 July 1999

**The introduction of consensus –35 (TTGACA) and –10 (TATAAT) hexamers and a TG motif into the *Lactobacillus acidophilus* ATCC 4356 wild-type *slpA* promoter resulted in significant improvements (4.3-, 4.1-, and 10.7-fold, respectively) in transcriptional activity in *Lactobacillus fermentum* BR11. In contrast, the same changes resulted in decreased transcription in *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG. The TG motif was shown to be important in the context of weak –35 and –10 hexamers (*L. fermentum* BR11) or a consensus –10 hexamer (*L. rhamnosus* GG). Thus, both strain- and context-dependent effects are critical factors influencing transcription in *Lactobacillus*.**

The bacterial RNA polymerase (RNAP) major  $\sigma$  factor recognizes and binds to conserved hexamers located at positions –35 (TTGACA) and –10 (TATAAT) with respect to the transcription initiation site in most organisms examined to date. These promoter hexamers are most frequently separated by a spacer of  $17 \pm 1$  nucleotides, which often harbors a TG motif upstream of the –10 hexamer. Mutations which result in increased identity to the consensus hexamers generally lead to an increase in promoter efficiency in both gram-negative (11, 13, 20) and gram-positive (4, 10, 19) bacteria. The presence of the TG motif appears to be of considerable importance in gram-positive organisms, where introduction or deletion of the motif can influence promoter activity substantially (9, 18, 19).

While extensive studies of promoter sequences in *Escherichia coli* have been conducted (5, 11), promoters of gram-positive bacteria, with the possible exception of *Bacillus subtilis*, remain largely uncharacterized. Indeed, mutational analysis of promoters in the industrially and medically important gram-positive organism *Lactobacillus* has not been previously reported. Surveys of *Lactobacillus* promoters have revealed significant conservation of bases in the –35 and –10 regions, with consensus sequences identical to those of other organisms (11a, 15). The TG motif is a conserved feature in 26% of all *Lactobacillus* promoters, with the conservation frequency varying markedly between species (11a). Data suggest that the –35 hexamer, –10 hexamer, and TG motifs are significant determinants of promoter strength in lactobacilli (11a). The potential exists, therefore, to improve expression of heterologous genes in lactobacilli by specifically targeting these core promoter elements to enhance transcription initiation.

In the present study, we introduced consensus –35 and –10 hexamer elements and a TG motif into the wild-type *Lactobacillus acidophilus* ATCC 4356 *slpA* promoter (2) and examined the effects on promoter activity in two strains of *Lactobacillus*, *L. fermentum* BR11 and *L. rhamnosus* GG.

The *slpA* wild-type promoter region (extending from posi-

tion –286 to –20, with respect to the translation start codon [2]) was initially amplified from *L. acidophilus* ATCC 4356 genomic DNA by using the primer pair 5'-AAAAGGATCCTGCTTGTGGGGTAAGCGG-3' and 5'-TTTTCTGCAGATA TAAAAAATGTAATAGGCC-3'. The promoter fragment was introduced into the *Bam*HI/*Pst*I sites of the multiple cloning site of plasmid pNZ272<sup>RBS</sup>, a ribosome binding site-deficient derivative of the *E. coli*-*Lactobacillus* shuttle vector pNZ272 harboring the promoterless *gusA* reporter gene (14). The use of this plasmid, which carries defective *gusA* protein machinery, avoids the deleterious effects associated with expression of the  $\beta$ -glucuronidase enzyme in these strains of lactobacilli (11a). Seven *slpA* promoter derivatives (Table 1) were generated by ligation of a 5'-phosphorylated oligonucleotide harboring the mutant base(s) during PCR of the *slpA* fragment (primer sequences and templates used are available upon request). PCR and cycling conditions were as previously described (12), with the following modifications: 1.25 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 50 pmol of each primer, 200  $\mu$ M (each) deoxynucleoside triphosphate, 1 to 15 ng of template (genomic or plasmid) DNA, 1 to 3 U of Expand High Fidelity *Taq* DNA polymerase (Boehringer Mannheim), and a 55°C annealing temperature. The *slpA* derivative PCR products were purified, digested with *Bam*HI/*Pst*I, ligated to *Bam*HI/*Pst*I-digested pNZ272<sup>RBS</sup>, and transformed into *E. coli* KW1 for selection of mutant clones. Plasmid constructs were sequenced to screen for incorporation of the desired base substitutions. Positive constructs were transformed into *L. fermentum* BR11 and *L. rhamnosus* GG essentially as previously described (16). Penicillin concentrations of 1 and 10  $\mu$ g/ml were used for *L. fermentum* BR11 and *L. rhamnosus* GG, respectively. Plasmid constructs from lactobacilli were analyzed by restriction digestion and direct sequencing to ensure plasmid integrity and maintenance of the mutant bases within the *slpA* promoter sequence.

The activity of each promoter in lactobacilli was determined directly by a quantitative Northern blot procedure. Total RNA was extracted from *Lactobacillus* clones (14), electrophoresed through 1.5% agarose formaldehyde gels, and transferred to positively charged nylon membranes (Boehringer Mannheim) by capillary transfer (17). Membranes were subsequently probed with a digoxigenin (DIG)-labelled 895-bp *gusA* PCR fragment (produced from linearized pNZ272<sup>RBS</sup> with the primer pair 5'-AATGTCACCTAACCTGCC-3' and 5'-GGGTTGGGGTTTCTACAGGACGTA-3') to determine specific

\* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Centre for Molecular Biotechnology, School of Life Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, GPO Box 2434, Brisbane, QLD 4001, Australia. Phone: 61 7 3864 2120. Fax: 61 7 3864 1534. E-mail: p.timms@qut.edu.au.

† Present address: Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of Texas—Houston Medical School, Houston, TX 77030.



that the consensus identities at the  $-35$  and  $-10$  hexamers were of equivalent importance in the *slpA* promoter in *L. fermentum* BR11. Interestingly, transcription directed from the *slpA* promoter in *L. fermentum* BR11 was not amenable to further enhancement by the introduction of multiple consensus motifs. *gusA* transcript levels produced from the *slpA* promoter derivative harboring concurrent consensus hexamer motifs (in pNZS1035) were not significantly different from levels produced from either of the derivatives harboring individual consensus hexamers (in pNZS35 and pNZS10) in *L. fermentum* BR11 (Table 1). This observation suggests that excessive RNAP-promoter contact in the hexamer regions may have resulted in the disruption of subsequent steps of transcription initiation in *L. fermentum* BR11, sufficient to counteract the additional interaction at the hexamer motifs. Similar hypotheses have been postulated for some consensus promoters of *E. coli* (5, 7).

The TG motif significantly increased the wild-type *slpA* promoter activity in *L. fermentum* BR11 (Table 1), presumably by providing an additional RNAP contact point in the presence of the weaker (i.e., nonconsensus) hexamers (1). Furthermore, the TG motif alone conferred approximately threefold more strength than a "perfect" hexamer consensus sequence in *L. fermentum* BR11. In contrast, the TG motif displayed either no significant effect or a down-effect on transcription when introduced into a promoter which harbored consensus hexamers (cf. pNZS35 and pNZT35, pNZS10 and pNZT10, and pNZS1035 and pNZT1035) (Table 1). These data are consistent with observations in *B. subtilis*, where the TG motif is only of benefit in the context of weak  $-35$  and  $-10$  hexamers (18, 19).

The results with the modified promoter sequences in *L. rhamnosus* GG contrasted with those obtained for *L. fermentum* BR11. The introduction of individual consensus  $-35$  and  $-10$  hexamers and a TG motif all resulted in decreased transcription (3-, 13.3-, and 6.7-fold, respectively) compared to the wild-type *slpA* promoter in *L. rhamnosus* GG (Table 1). These data would suggest that the wild-type *slpA* promoter was operating near maximal strength in *L. rhamnosus* GG. Presumably, any increase in transcription initiation facilitated by the increased RNAP-promoter interaction at the consensus motifs in *L. rhamnosus* GG was exceeded by the significant disruption of later stages of transcription initiation (e.g., promoter clearance [7]).

The effect of introducing consensus hexamers on *slpA*-directed transcription in *L. rhamnosus* GG was clearly context dependent. Both the  $-35$  and  $-10$  consensus hexamers displayed up-effects on transcription when introduced into a promoter harboring a consensus hexamer at the alternate position, regardless of the status of the TG motif (Table 1). For example, introducing a consensus  $-10$  hexamer to the promoter derivative harboring a consensus  $-35$  hexamer resulted in a significant 3-fold (cf. pNZS35 and pNZS1035) or 13-fold (cf. pNZT35 and pNZT1035) increase in transcription ( $P < 0.01$ ). Similarly, the addition of a consensus  $-35$  hexamer to the promoter harboring a consensus  $-10$  hexamer led to a 13-fold increase ( $P < 0.01$ ) in relative *gusA*-specific transcript levels, regardless of the TG motif status (cf. pNZS10 and pNZS1035; cf. pNZT10 and pNZT1035). These results suggest that a consensus hexamer is able to compensate for tight binding at the alternate hexamer in *L. rhamnosus* GG. This presumably occurs by increasing the efficiency of another step in the transcription initiation process, such as providing optimal bending in the promoter spacer region or facilitating open complex formation.

Introduction of a TG motif upstream of the *slpA*  $-10$  hex-

amer in an otherwise wild-type promoter resulted in a 6.7-fold decrease in a 6.7-fold decrease in promoter activity in *L. rhamnosus* GG (Table 1). Interestingly, however, the TG motif partially compensated (2.1-fold increase [ $P < 0.01$ ]) for the down-effect of the  $-10$  consensus hexamer in this strain, regardless of the status of the  $-35$  hexamer (cf. pNZS10 and pNZT10; cf. pNZS1035 and pNZT1035). These results are in agreement with data obtained from gram-negative bacteria (6, 8) and suggest that the TG motif is able to enhance transcription in the context of a tightly bound  $-10$  hexamer in *L. rhamnosus* GG, either by alleviating strong RNAP-promoter contacts in the vicinity of the  $-10$  hexamer or by facilitating open complex formation. Interestingly, only the *slpA* promoter derivative harboring all three consensus motifs (in pNZT1035) led to a promoter activity which was significantly higher (2.1-fold) than the wild-type *slpA* promoter in *L. rhamnosus* GG. This observation is consistent with the hypotheses extended for the context-dependent changes in this strain. Comparative analysis suggested that *L. rhamnosus* GG and *L. fermentum* BR11 were capable of transcribing *gusA* mRNA to similar levels when the optimal *slpA* promoter derivative (in pNZT1035 and pNZT1035, respectively) was provided (Table 1).

In the presence of a consensus  $-35$  hexamer, the TG motif displayed a significant down-effect (2.1- to 3.4-fold [ $P < 0.05$ ]) on transcription from the *slpA* promoter in both *L. rhamnosus* GG (cf. pNZS35 and pNZT35) and *L. fermentum* BR11 (cf. pNZS35 and pNZT35; cf. pNZS1035 and pNZT1035) (Table 1). Voskuil and Chambliss (18) postulated that in the presence of an efficient  $-35$  hexamer, the TG motif may act by promoting or stabilizing a transcription initiation step. The data obtained in the present study suggest that the presence of both a consensus  $-35$  hexamer and a TG motif may overstabilize transcription intermediates and thereby hinder later stages of the transcription process in *Lactobacillus* strains.

This investigation provides the first insight into the functional relevance of the  $-35$  and  $-10$  hexamers and the TG motif within promoters of *Lactobacillus*. The findings presented should facilitate the selection or development of promoter sequences for achieving high-level heterologous gene expression in these medically and industrially important organisms.

We thank W. de Vos (NIZO, The Netherlands) for generously donating plasmid pNZ272 and S. Mathews for technical advice and review of the manuscript.

This work was supported by research grant 941114 from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia.

#### REFERENCES

1. Barne, K. A., J. A. Bown, S. J. W. Busby, and S. D. Minchin. 1997. Region 2.5 of the *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase  $\sigma^{70}$  subunit is responsible for the recognition of the 'extended  $-10$ ' motif at promoters. *EMBO J.* **16**:4034-4040.
2. Boot, H. J., C. P. A. M. Kolen, and P. H. Pouwels. 1995. Identification, cloning, and nucleotide sequence of a silent S-layer protein gene of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* ATCC 4356 which has extensive similarity with the S-layer protein gene of this species. *J. Bacteriol.* **177**:7222-7230.
3. Boot, H. J., C. P. A. M. Kolen, F. J. Andreadaki, R. J. Leer, and P. H. Pouwels. 1996. The *Lactobacillus acidophilus* S-layer protein gene expression site comprises two consensus promoter sequences, one of which directs transcription of stable mRNA. *J. Bacteriol.* **178**:5388-5394.
4. Borst, D. W., and M. J. Betley. 1994. Promoter analysis of the staphylococcal enterotoxin A gene. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**:1883-1888.
5. Bujard, H., M. Brunner, U. Deuschle, W. Kammerer, and R. Knaus. 1987. Structure-function relationship of *Escherichia coli* promoters, p. 95-103. In W. S. Reznikoff, R. R. Burgess, J. E. Dahlberg, C. A. Gross, M. T. Record, Jr., and M. P. Wickens (ed.), *RNA polymerase and the regulation of transcription*. Elsevier, New York, N.Y.
6. Burns, H. D., T. A. Belyaeva, S. J. W. Busby, and S. D. Minchin. 1996. Temperature-dependence of open-complex formation at two *Escherichia coli* promoters with extended  $-10$  sequences. *Biochem. J.* **317**:305-311.

7. Ellinger, T., D. Behnke, H. Bujard, and J. D. Gralla. 1994. Stalling of *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase in the +6 to +12 region *in vivo* is associated with tight binding to consensus promoter elements. *J. Mol. Biol.* **239**:455–465.
8. Graña, D., T. Gardella, and M. M. Susskind. 1988. The effects of mutations in the *ant* promoter of phage P22 depend on context. *Genetics* **120**:319–327.
9. Henkin, T. M., and A. L. Sonenshein. 1987. Mutations of the *Escherichia coli lacUV5* promoter resulting in increased expression in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* **209**:467–474.
10. Kenney, T. J., and G. Churchward. 1996. Genetic analysis of the *Mycobacterium smegmatis rpsL* promoter. *J. Bacteriol.* **178**:3564–3571.
11. Mandecki, W., R. A. Goldman, B. S. Powell, and M. H. Caruthers. 1985. *lac* up-promoter mutants with increased homology to the consensus promoter sequence. *J. Bacteriol.* **164**:1353–1355.
- 11a. McCracken, A., L. M. Hafner, and P. Timms. Unpublished data.
12. Michael, S. F. 1994. Mutagenesis by incorporation of a phosphorylated oligo during PCR amplification. *BioTechniques* **16**:410–412.
13. Moyle, H., C. Waldberger, and M. M. Susskind. 1991. Hierarchies of base pair preferences in the P22 *ant* promoter. *J. Bacteriol.* **173**:1944–1950.
14. Platteeuw, C., G. Simons, and W. M. de Vos. 1994. Use of the *Escherichia coli*  $\beta$ -glucuronidase (*gusA*) gene as a reporter gene for analyzing promoters in lactic acid bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **60**:587–593.
15. Pouwels, P. H., and R. J. Leer. 1993. Genetics of lactobacilli: plasmids and gene expression. *Antonie Leeuwenhoek* **64**:85–107.
16. Rush, C. M., L. M. Hafner, and P. Timms. 1994. Genetic modification of a vaginal strain of *Lactobacillus fermentum* and its maintenance within the reproductive tract after intravaginal administration. *J. Med. Microbiol.* **41**:272–278.
17. Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
18. Voskuil, M. I., and G. H. Chambliss. 1998. The –16 region of *Bacillus subtilis* and other gram-positive bacterial promoters. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **26**:3584–3590.
19. Voskuil, M. I., K. Voepel, and G. H. Chambliss. 1995. The –16 region, a vital sequence for the utilization of a promoter in *Bacillus subtilis* and *Escherichia coli*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **17**:271–279.
20. Youderian, P., S. Bouvier, and M. M. Susskind. 1982. Sequence determinants of promoter activity. *Cell* **30**:843–853.