

## USC RESEARCH BANK

<http://research.usc.edu.au>

This is the author accepted version of the following publication:

Hanger, J, Loader, J, Wan, C, Beagley, K W, Timms, Peter, Polkinghorne, A  
(2013) Comparison of antigen detection and quantitative PCR in the  
detection of chlamydial infection in koalas (*Phascolarctos cinereus*),  
Veterinary Journal, 195:3, 391-393, DOI: [10.1016/j.tvjl.2012.07.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2012.07.024)

### PERMISSIONS

Permission has been granted by the copyright holder to deposit this author accepted version as Open Access in the USC Research Bank. Open Access research is digital, online and free of charge, and is made possible by the consent of the author or copyright holder.

This is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Veterinary Journal. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Veterinary Journal, 195:3, 391-393, DOI: [10.1016/j.tvjl.2012.07.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2012.07.024)

1 **Short Communication**

2

3 **Comparison of antigen detection and quantitative PCR in the detection of chlamydial**  
4 **infection in koalas (*Phascolarctos cinereus*)**

5

6 Jon Hanger <sup>a, b</sup>, Joanne Loader <sup>b</sup>, Charles Wan <sup>c</sup>, Kenneth W. Beagley <sup>c</sup>, Peter Timms <sup>c</sup>,  
7 Adam Polkinghorne <sup>c, \*</sup>

8

9 <sup>a</sup> *Endeavour Veterinary Ecology, Toorbul, Queensland, Australia*

10 <sup>b</sup> *Australia Zoo Wildlife Hospital, Beerwah, Queensland, Australia*

11 <sup>c</sup> *Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology,*  
12 *Brisbane, Queensland, Australia*

13

14 \* Corresponding author. Tel.: +61 7 31386259.

15 *E-mail address:* a.polkinghorne@qut.edu.au (A. Polkinghorne).

16

17 **Abstract**

18           The ‘gold standard’ method for detecting chlamydial infection in domestic and wild  
19 animals is PCR. However, this technique is not suited to testing animals ‘in the field’ when a  
20 rapid diagnosis is frequently required. The objective of this study was to compare the results  
21 of a commercially available enzyme immunoassay, the ‘Clearview’ (CLV) *Chlamydia* test,  
22 against a quantitative *Chlamydia pecorum*-specific PCR performed on swabs collected from  
23 the conjunctival sac, nasal cavity and urogenital sinuses of naturally infected koalas  
24 (*Phascolarctos cinereus*). The level of agreement for positive results between the two assays  
25 was low (43.2%). The CLV detection cut-off was determined as approximately 400 *C.*  
26 *pecorum* copies, indicating that this assay is sufficiently sensitive to be used for the rapid  
27 diagnosis of active chlamydial infections.

28

29 *Keywords: Chlamydia pecorum; Antigen detection; Quantitative PCR; Koala*

30

31

32 Members of the family *Chlamydiaceae* are obligate intracellular pathogens and  
33 important causes of disease in humans, domestic animals and wildlife (Longbottom and  
34 Coulter, 2003). *Chlamydia* infection is typically included as one of the differential diagnosis  
35 for a range of presenting clinical signs in animals such as ovine abortion and chronic kerato-  
36 conjunctivitis and cystitis in koalas (*Phascolarctos cinereus*) (Sachse et al., 2009). However,  
37 subclinical infections can result in ‘healthy’ shedder animals within populations (Wan et al.,  
38 2011), and infection with other pathogens may result in similar clinical signs. In  
39 consequence, veterinarians require additional diagnostic techniques to make a definitive  
40 diagnosis of chlamydial disease, particularly when disease is subclinical.

41

42 The ‘Clearview’ (CLV) enzyme immunoassay (EIA) is a qualitative, solid-phase  
43 direct antigen detection method that employs an antibody directed against the  
44 *Chlamydiaceae*-family-specific lipopolysaccharide (cLPS). Compared to ‘gold standard’ tests  
45 such as cell culture and nucleic acid amplification (NAA) for detecting *C. trachomatis* genital  
46 tract infections, the CLV is less sensitive but is highly specific for members of the  
47 *Chlamydiaceae* in humans (Hislop et al., 2010). Similar, rapid point-of-care tests are rarely  
48 performed, however, due to this reduced sensitivity (van Dommelen et al., 2010). Published  
49 reports on the use of the CLV to detect chlamydial strains affecting mammals and birds are  
50 limited (Wood and Timms, 1992; Vanrompay et al., 1994), and when used in turkeys,  
51 significant numbers of false positives and negatives were found (Vanrompay et al., 1994).  
52 Despite these limitations, the CLV has significant potential in the diagnosis of chlamydial  
53 infection in animals, providing adequate sensitivity and specificity can be demonstrated.

54

55 We commonly employ the CLV in field-testing for chlamydial infection in koalas  
56 (Wood and Timms, 1992). Of the two species that infect the koala, *C. pecorum* and *C.*

57 *pneumoniae*, the former is both more pathogenic and prevalent than the latter (Jackson et al.,  
58 1999; Devereaux et al., 2003; Marsh et al., 2011). To evaluate the sensitivity of the CLV in  
59 diagnosing *C. pecorum* infection in a ‘point-of-care’ setting, we collected swabs from the  
60 conjunctival **sac**, nasal cavity and urogenital tract of 36 koalas and compared the result of the  
61 CLV with the *C. pecorum* DNA copy number, as determined by quantitative PCR (Wan et  
62 al., 2011). The sampled koalas were presented to the Australia Zoo Wildlife Hospital,  
63 Beerwah, Australia, for veterinary treatment because of injury or illness. Duplicate cotton-  
64 tipped swabs for qPCR and CLV were concurrently collected from the conjunctival sac, nasal  
65 cavity and urogenital sinus (females), or sequentially from the urethra (males). Duplicate  
66 urethral swabs from males were randomly assigned to the ‘CLV’ or ‘PCR’ test groups. All  
67 swabs were collected by the same clinician, and it was not possible to obtain samples from all  
68 sites in several animals.

69  
70 The CLV was performed within 20 min of sampling in accordance with the  
71 manufacturer’s instructions. ‘Result’ and ‘control’ windows were examined 15 min after  
72 applying the extract to the test strips, and were graded semiquantitatively as: 0, negative; 1,  
73 weakly positive (but could be clearly discerned); 2, strongly positive (but with less intense  
74 banding than the positive control); 3, band intensity  $\geq$  positive control band (Fig. 1). The  
75 duplicate swab was stored at -80 °C prior to processing for qPCR as previously described  
76 (Wan et al., 2011). Samples with  $< 30$  16S rDNA copies of *C. pecorum* DNA/ $\mu$ L were  
77 considered negative.

78  
79 The geometric mean *C. pecorum* DNA copy number at the sampled sites relative to  
80 the CLV result, and the specificity and sensitivity of CLV at each site are detailed in Table 1.  
81 As no CLV result was graded as ‘3’, this level of positivity was excluded from the analysis.

82 Of 138 swabs, 81 (58.7%) were qPCR positive and 35 of these 81 were CLV positive,  
83 indicating 43.2% agreement. The approximate detection limit of the CLV was 384 *C.*  
84 *pecorum* cells/ $\mu$ L of swab material. Although this level of agreement level is lower than that  
85 found in a previous study in koalas where cell culture was used (Wood and Timms, 1992),  
86 the findings are consistent with NAA test/CLV comparisons (Hislop et al., 2010). This result  
87 is also not surprising considering that qPCR is considered more sensitive than an EIA in  
88 detecting chlamydial infection (Sachse et al., 2009). Sampling error, including the potential  
89 failure to collect equal numbers of chlamydial cells in both swabs may also have contributed  
90 to this finding.

91

92 When sampling sites were compared (Table 1), the lowest agreement was observed  
93 from swabs collected from the nasal cavity (5 CLV positive vs. 17 qPCR positive; 29.4%),  
94 likely reflecting the lower load of *C. pecorum* in these swabs compared to other sites. The  
95 CLV was more reliable for swabs of the urogenital region (15 CLV positive vs. 25 qPCR  
96 positive; 60%), where the average qPCR copy number was approximately 10 times higher  
97 (Table 1). Four ‘false positive’ CLV results were detected in 57 *C. pecorum* DNA negative  
98 swabs, possibly because of the presence of other *Chlamydiaceae*, such as *C. pneumoniae*,  
99 which are known to infect wild koalas at a much lower prevalence (Jackson et al., 1999).  
100 There is also evidence that other, *Chlamydia*-like organisms infect koalas (Devereaux et al.,  
101 2003), although there is no data currently available on the potential for CLV to cross-react  
102 with these organisms.

103

104 Interestingly, CLV signal semiquantitative grading correlated strongly with the *C.*  
105 *pecorum* infectious load ( $P < 0.05$ ; one-way ANOVA), suggesting that when sufficient *C.*  
106 *pecorum* organisms are present (i.e. approximately 400 *C. pecorum* copies / $\mu$ L), subjective

107 reading of the CLV signal at the recommended time could be used to semi-quantify  
108 chlamydial infection loads. Based on our recent study examining the relationship between *C.*  
109 *pecorum* infectious load in koalas, and disease severity, this finding suggests the CLV is  
110 potentially suitable for confirming chlamydiosis in animals with active disease affecting the  
111 eye or urogenital regions, as the infectious load in these animals is within this detection range  
112 (Wan et al., 2011). However, the test may not be reliable in: detecting subclinically infected  
113 animals with infectious loads < 400 copies/ $\mu$ L; confirming *C. pecorum* infection in animals  
114 with chronic, inactive disease, as such animals often shed levels of organisms below the  
115 detection threshold of this assay (Wan et al., 2011).

116

117 In conclusion, when the portability, turn-around time and ease of use of the CLV are  
118 considered, this assay is a useful adjunct clinical test in the diagnosis of chlamydial infection  
119 in animals, as demonstrated in our study in the koala. Further work will be required to  
120 validate its diagnostic value in other species.

## 121 **Acknowledgements**

122 We wish to thank the veterinary staff of the Australia Zoo Wildlife Hospital for their  
123 support with this work. This study was financially supported by an ARC Linkage Scheme  
124 Grant (LP0990147: Development of an anti-*Chlamydia* vaccine in the koala), awarded to KB,  
125 PT and JH and through a donation made by CSR Ltd.

## 126 **Conflict of interest statement**

127 None of the authors of this paper has a financial or personal relationship with other  
128 people or organisations that could inappropriately influence or bias the content of the paper.

129

130

131

132 **References**

133

134 Devereaux, L., Polkinghorne, A., Meijer, A.M., Timms, P., 2003. Molecular evidence for  
135 novel chlamydial infections in the koala. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* 26, 245-253.

136

137 Hislop, J., Quayyum, Z., Flett, G., Boachie, C., Fraser, C., Mowatt, G., 2010. Systematic  
138 review of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of rapid point-of-care tests for the  
139 detection of genital chlamydia infection in women and men. *Health Technology Assessment*  
140 14, 1-97.

141

142 Jackson, M., White, N., Giffard, P., Timms, P., 1999. Epizootiology of *Chlamydia* infections  
143 in two free-range koala populations. *Veterinary Microbiology* 65, 255-264.

144

145 Longbottom, D., Coulter, L.J., 2003. Animal chlamydioses and zoonotic implications.  
146 *Journal of Comparative Pathology* 128, 217-244.

147

148 Marsh, J., Kollipara, A., Timms, P., Polkinghorne, A., 2011. Novel molecular markers of  
149 *Chlamydia pecorum* genetic diversity in the koala (*Phascolarctos cinereus*). *BMC*  
150 *Microbiology* 11, 77.

151

152 Sachse, K., Vretou, E., Livingstone, M., Borel, N., Pospischil, A., Longbottom, D., 2009.  
153 Recent developments in the laboratory diagnosis of chlamydial infections. *Veterinary*  
154 *Microbiology* 135, 2-21.

155

156 Vanrompay, D., Van Nerom, A., Ducatelle, R., Haesebrouck, F., 1994. Evaluation of five  
157 immunoassays for detection of *Chlamydia psittaci* in cloacal and conjunctival specimens  
158 from turkeys. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 32, 1470-1474.

159

160 van Dommelen, L., van Tiel, F.H., Ouburg, S., Brouwers, E.E., Terporten, P.H., Savelkoul,  
161 P.H., Morr , S.A., Bruggeman, C.A., Hoebe, C.J., 2010. Alarming poor performance in  
162 *Chlamydia trachomatis* point-of-care testing. *Sexually Transmitted Infections*. 86, 355-399.

163

164 Wan, C., Loader, J., Hanger, J., Beagley, K., Timms, P., Polkinghorne, A., 2011. Using  
165 quantitative polymerase chain reaction to correlate *Chlamydia pecorum* infectious load with  
166 ocular, urinary and reproductive tract disease in the koala (*Phascolarctos cinereus*).  
167 *Australian Veterinary Journal* 89, 409-412.

168

169 Wood, M.M., Timms, P., 1992. Comparison of nine antigen detection kits for diagnosis of  
170 urogenital infections due to *Chlamydia psittaci* in koalas. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*  
171 30, 3200-3205.

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181



182 **Table 1.**

183

184 Details of the sensitivity and specificity of the ‘Clearview’ (CLV) enzyme immunoassay  
 185 antigen detection test compared with a *Chlamydia pecorum* species-specific qPCR assay  
 186 carried out on swabs taken from the conjunctival sac (conjun), nasal cavity, and urogenital  
 187 tract (UGT) of naturally infected koalas.

188

CLV band intensity ‘score’	No. of swabs	qPCR 16S copies <sup>a</sup>	No. of PCR positives ( <i>n</i> = 81) diagnosed as:		No. of PCR negatives ( <i>n</i> = 57) diagnosed as:		Sensitivity <sup>b</sup>	Specificity <sup>c</sup>
			CLV positive	CLV negative	CLV positive	CLV negative		
Negative	99	1	-	46	-	53	-	-
+	20	384	18	-	2	-	-	-
++	19	1385	17	-	2	-	-	-
<b>Total (all)</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43.2</b>	<b>92.9</b>
Conjun swabs	69	67	15	25	2	27	37.5	93.1
Nasal swabs	35	36	5	12	1	17	29.4	94.4
UGT swabs	34	291	15	10	1	8	60.0	88.9

189 <sup>a</sup> Geometric mean copies of 16S rDNA PCR product/μL of DNA/swab.

190 <sup>b</sup> Sensitivity, % number of positives detected against number PCR positive.

191 <sup>c</sup> Specificity, % number of negatives detected against number PCR negative.

192

193 **Figure legend**

194

195 **Fig. 1.**

196 The 'Clearview' (CLV) enzyme immunoassay is a qualitative, solid-phase direct antigen  
197 detection method that employs an antibody directed against the *Chlamydiaceae*-family-  
198 specific lipopolysaccharide. The semiquantitative grading system used in this study is  
199 illustrated. 'Control' and 'result' windows were examined 15 min after applying the extract to  
200 the test strips, and were graded as: 0, negative; 1, weakly positive (but could be clearly  
201 discerned); 2, strongly positive (but with less intense banding than the positive control); 3,  
202 band intensity  $\geq$  positive control band.

203